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Abstract
In this article, we provide an account of Fitbit, a wearable sensor device, using two complementary analytical approaches: auto-ethnography and media analysis. Drawing on the concept of biopedagogy, which describes the processes of learning and training bodies how to live, we focus on how users learn to self-care with wearable technologies through a series of micropractices that involve processes of mediation and the sharing their own data via social networking. Our discussion is oriented towards four areas of analysis: data subjectivity and sociality; making meaning; time and productivity; and brand identity. We articulate how these micropractices of knowing one's body regulate the contemporary ‘fit’ and healthy subject, and mediate expertise about health, behaviour and data subjectivity.
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Introduction
In recent years, tracking devices and wearable sensors have come to occupy a key locus in the mediation of the healthy and responsible citizen. Application-based tracking services, such as Map My Run, and tracking sensors like Fitbit have become popular elements of consumer culture. Devices such as Fitbit are often framed in policy and in the media as enabling significant moves towards a healthy lifestyle, despite the fact that they are at the leisure end of a health-to-leisure spectrum of medical devices. They come together with the promotion of individual responsibility in health care policy (Beck and Beck-Gersheim, 2001) and ‘healthy living’ (Bunton et al., 1995). In this context, how is self-care being learned with the use of digital apps and wearable technologies? What kinds of subjectivities do self-tracking and data quantification enable? Drawing on the concept of biopedagogy, which describes the processes of learning and training bodies how to live, in this article, we examine Fitbit, a wearable sensor device, from two different analytical approaches: auto-ethnography and media analysis.

When it comes to Fitbit and other wearable devices there is more at stake than behavioural change and individual wellbeing. As we argue in this article, these are normative devices teaching users how to be good consumers and biocitizens. Users are offered training in self-care through wearable technologies through a series of micropractices that involve processes of mediation and
sharing their own data via social networking. Importantly, they learn to incorporate forms of ubiquitous computing and data literacy in their lives. This article focuses on how such new micropractices of self-caring and knowing oneself are disseminated through the media and the Fitbit platform itself. By employing the concept of digital biopedagogy, we articulate how these micropractices of knowing one’s body through data regulate the contemporary fit and healthy subject.

Wearable devices are practiced as digital technologies of the body. They are also media texts, and therefore present an added layer of analytic complexity. It then becomes necessary to frame them both as artefacts with practices, and as communication devices that address users/audiences in particular ways. Hence our approach to Fitbit encompasses both the experiential dimensions of practising a wearable technology, and its symbolic and meaning-making dimensions as a digital communication device. This allows us to explore the idea of wearable technologies as pedagogic technologies that incorporate forms of training and knowledge production and which, in this process, create new meanings about the technology, as well as new expert/lay person identities. Fitbit’s accumulation of personal data and use of social networking technologies indicate a shift of responsibility from the medical expert to the tracking technology and to the individual. Operating both through promotional media discourse about the device, and by the multiple address of the device as itself a discursive agent, this shift is often accentuated and articulated as a form of democratisation and individual empowerment.

Fitbit and Biopedagogy

“My Fitbit One flashes that it will be out of battery soon and I am rushing to get to the charger and to a chair before it goes off. I’m worried that I will miss steps, that the graph will be incomplete, that there will be gaps. It seems that this tiny little piece of metal, gum and LCD screen has brought out an inner obsessiveness that I didn’t know about, a compulsiveness to keep logs tidy and up-to-date. I genuinely crave for clean diagrams.” (field notes)

This auto ethnographic field note introduces some our key questions: Why might people worry about their devices and have compulsive attachments to data visualisations that are continuous and do not contain information gaps? What else does using Fitbit teach people, and what have we learnt as researchers through different approaches?

Fitbit offers a range of wearable devices that can be attached to the wrist or clipped onto clothing. Daily use of Fitbit typically involves wearing the device throughout the day, while it monitors steps
walked, floors climbed and calories burned. In some models (such as the model used in this study, Fitbit One) Fitbit offers additional features, such as sleep monitoring. The user needs to connect to the personal interface (referred to as the Dashboard) in order to upload the data logged by the device to the cloud-based system. This can happen through a website or mobile app; once logged in, the user can access charts with information of daily activity and compare their data over time or to other Fitbit users. Periodic syncing is necessary for this update. In our study, the autoethnographer connected to the cloud at the end of every day. In addition to measuring data sensed directly by the device, by logging into the Dashboard the user can also enter details of food consumption and mood. The company suggests eating a specific amount of calories, and walking a minimum of 10,000 steps a day in order to reach personal weight loss goals.

(Figure caption)
Figure 1: Fitbit dashboard showing tiles for food, steps and water: part of the app interface

In what follows, we focus on the mode of address of digital health promotion and employ the concept of biopedagogy. Our interest is with the subjectivities that are being produced by the discourses that circulate in the media, and enabled through the design of the Fitbit interface of peripherals. Biopedagogy has been explored in health sociology to account for the ways in which truth and meaning about bodies are being constituted in multiple sites, such as policy documents, health promotion and the media (Wright and Harwood, 2009). Drawing from Foucault's classic work on biopower, and more recent work on pedagogy (see Bordo 2003; Bernstein 2001, 2008), Jan Wright and Valerie Harwood's edited volume on biopolitics and obesity focuses on the cultural beliefs, policies and other regulating and disciplinary practices that constitute pedagogies about how to live. Concentrating more on the media, Genevieve Rail and Michelle Lafrance (2009) also use biopedagogy to analyse biopower when they account for the ways in which viewers of the reality television programme Nip and Tuck are instructed how to think about the fat body. In these contexts, biopedagogy has been used primarily to think about how bodies are pathologised and disciplined and, more recently, how policy and market work in tandem to create the normative ‘fit’ and productive biocitizen (Rail and Jette, 2015). In this article, we are informed by these existing studies but we are also concerned with the biopedagogy of digital technologies and apps that operate in a pre-emptive mode. Like reality television and other cultural sites, Fitbit tracking devices mediate the body, prescribing what is normal and acceptable, including normal weight and weight loss through exercising and calorie restriction. The promotional media and the interface of the consumer device constitute biopedagogies about how to prevent the pathologised body and reproduce dominant discourses about the ‘fit’ and healthy body. Our attention is directed to the tensions between media representations, user experience, and knowledge-making about health
promotion wearables, against the backdrop of economic cuts, austerity and the reshaping of the
health sector throughout Europe. In this context, the rhetoric of crisis in the healthcare sectors, and
fears that care may become unavailable to many, invite new modes of control over the body and
health. Further, as we discuss in our analysis of the interface, Fitbit is designed to address users
and consumers as learners of technology, instructed to incorporate self-logging in their everyday
lives, making everyday practices productive. Thus, biopedagogy also relates to the new
subjectivities that emerge in relation to what has been recently termed as ‘datafication’ (Mayer-
Schönberger and Cukier, 2013) and ‘dataveillance’ (van Dijck, 2014).

**Reviewing wearables: communication systems and social technologies**

The emerging body of research around wearables has registered the centrality of locative devices,
smart phone apps (Lupton, 2014b), and data repositories for healthcare (Oudshoorn, 2011; Mort et
al., 2013). The Quantified Self (QS) is one example of a community of people who use wearable
devices in order to log personal information and improve various aspects of personal life, such as
mood, physical and mental performance, or other aspects of everyday life, such as air quality, and
it has become a subject of critical thought (Bossewitch and Sinnriech 2013, Fotopoulou 2014, Shull
et al 2014). Insurance companies and employers routinely introduce wearables in the workplace as
part of the well-being and health package deals they offer to their employees (Olson and Tilley,
2014). Although fitness and well-being wearables such as Fitbit are not intended as medical
devices per se, they are part of an apparatus of digitised health promotion (Lupton, 2013; 2014a).
Digital health promotion strategies that largely emphasise individual responsibility disregard the
social, cultural and political dimensions of digital technology use (Lupton 2014). We examine these
social dimensions here, and indicate some of the implications of promoting self-reliance in relation
to public health.

Wearable sensor technologies bring new challenges but also have continuity with older systems.
They intersect with biometrics (measurements of the body), which could also include facial
recognition, body temperature and perspiration levels. However, biometrics have been cast as
dystopian surveillance technologies and received explicit criticism for the way in which they
objectify bodies, with their limited set of biometric indexes (Magnet 2011). Although these issues
also apply to health promotion, here our focus is different. Fitbit wearables are central to what
Deborah Lupton refers to as a ‘data utopian discourse on the possibilities and potential of big data,
metricisation and algorithmic calculation for healthcare’ (Lupton, 2013: 14). Wearables are
attached to imaginaries in which self-surveillance offers agency (Mann 2005), and a utopian vision
of a body that might escape pathology, if it is paired with technological expertise.
Methods

The media analysis included an analysis of the interface, and textual analysis of the promotional material. Initially, we undertook an exploratory textual analysis of news media of a total of 140 articles during a one-year period (April 2012-2013), which were clustered around health, leisure and lifestyle sections, as well as trade press. We aimed to identify dominant, ambivalent and oppositional framings and meanings attributed to Fitbit through discourse analysis. We identified the language used to construct the device as meaningful, and the subject positions offered through these formulations. We derived the sample by searching for 'Fitbit', 'wearable' 'tracker' and 'sensor' as key terms through the Nexis news database. Of these articles only three significantly challenged the promotion of Fitbit as a 'cool' new device to help manage and control weight and wellness.

From the initial textual analysis it became evident that it was important to understand how the device can be used in everyday life, the subject positions that are enabled when bodies connect and interact with the technology, how the utopian/dystopian narratives in adopting wearable technologies map out during daily use, and how other key claims about expertise and knowledge are communicated through the interface design of the device. The textual analysis was thus complemented with an autoethnographic analysis and an interface analysis of Fitbit. The interface analysis involved examining the smartphone app, the device screen and the website, which are its key modes of communication and knowledge production.

For the small-scale auto-ethnographic methods, Fotopoulou used the Fitbit device and logged everyday physical activity, including sleep, for a period of three months. Auto-ethnography seeks to analyse personal experience as a source of knowledge and it privileges the self, situated in a particular cultural context, as a source of narrative (Bassett, 2012, Ellis and Bochner, 2000; Ellis, 2004). This was particularly relevant here since the use of self-logging technologies is about self-improvement. Daily use of the device included wearing it during the day and during sleep; trying to meet the manufacturer's generic suggestion to walk 10,000 steps per day; and logging into the personal interface (Dashboard) at the end of each day to check the data visualisations of the activity that had been logged. The autoethnographer joined a community of Fitbit users, which served as a common cultural identity. She kept reflexive ethnographic notes (quoted in this paper as field notes), which focused on how her understanding and approach to her own data and bodily functions changed with the use of Fitbit. These notes were developed further with the following key questions as guides: what kinds of knowledge are users invited to produce with the interface; how does the interpretative framework prescribed by the device allow users/consumers to make meaning about their own data, and what kinds of stories can they tell about themselves?
The combination of media analysis (interface analysis and textual analysis of news/promotional material) with autoethnography was important in order to approach the device and its operation as part of a wider promotion for digital health.

Analysis

*Fitbit* enables a range of meanings, literacies and forms of knowledge that are central to shaping certain subjectivities and consumer behaviours. Self-tracking with *Fitbit* involves a set of micropractices through which self-care is normalised as the way to be fit and healthy. These involve learning how to operate the device and how to make sense of the data, and they constitute a form of training.

a) ‘You can get this!’ Everyday coaching and making data social

In media coverage *Fitbit* appears overwhelmingly as an *app*. The terms ‘gadget’, ‘device’, ‘gizmo’ and ‘wearable’ are all used, but the term with the highest incidence is app. Much of the news coverage that we investigated deployed puns such as ‘appy New Year’; ‘appy days’; ‘if you’re appy and you know it’, in the headlines. Through this language, an ecology of mobiles, smart phones and wearables is evoked. This framing helps to locate the *Fitbit* as a dimension of digital culture and to emphasise it as a networked object.

In use, the screen of the device worn on the body displays numerical information about fitness activity, such as steps walked, floors climbed, and calories burnt. In addition to this information, the screen periodically displays messages that aim to create a sense of connection with the user, and at the same time, establish the device in its role as a sports-trainer (for instance ‘love ya Mary’ or ‘you can get this!’). This display of motivational messages on the device screen and on the interface dashboard introduces a form of coaching, which is ongoing even when the device is not actually connected to the wireless interface.

The offline sociality of motivational messages is complemented with what has become standard online connectivity: the app and website are similar to others (activity tracking, calorie measuring) in their use of social networking functions, such as profile, friends, and groups (see boyd and Ellison 2011 for a thorough analysis of these functions). These social networking functions, and the basic communication on the screen of the device, are integral aspects of how knowledge about the body and the technology is acquired and exchanged through the *Fitbit* interface, and a key way in which health is digitally mediated.

Since self-measurement is the focus of the *Fitbit* interface, it could be said that sociality and
connectivity are not directly necessary. Therefore the design features that enable sociality and connectivity in the interface could be considered as a marketing strategy that renders Fitbit ‘as if’ social: the social networking elements are used to render the interface attractive to users, when the primary aim, as with other similar health-related businesses and cloud-based tracking devices, is the collection of personal data from the user (see Atzori et al 2014). Indeed, Fitbit prompts users to consent to share their recorded data with the Microsoft Health Vault (https://www.healthvault.com/gb/en), which is a central node for sharing health information on Windows 8. Currently Fitbit provides interested users with an application program interface (API) should they wish to download their raw data but premium membership is required. However, making the data meaningful in another context requires good technical knowledge. Thus Fitbit offers a data subjectivity that is ‘social’ but limited. It mainly teaches people how to be subject to data, or data participants, while it offers a possibility of empowered data subjectivity.

b) Self-tracking is fun: numbers and metaphors

The user is presented with information about their fitness in two ways: through an interpretation of the quantified data input and manual data-entry in the form of infographics and text (see Figure 1); and through the game features, which come in the form of badges and levels. For example, the Fitbit One depicts a flower that grows bigger the more active you are (Figure 2).

(Figure caption)

Figure 2: Fitbit One’s flower.

The analysis of the data is statistical and cumulative. Bodily information about weight, age and height is provided by the user and can be shared with other users with the ‘friends’ function. The range of information that can be shared with friends in Fitbit is determined by the platform (food, activities, weight, sleep, mood, allergies). A ‘Journal’ feature allows for diary-type prose content to be recorded and shared. The diagrams display the average score since the beginning of the use, as well as peaks and lows of an activity during the same day. However, these infographics cannot be further manipulated or read in much detail. Fitbit allows for an accessible and limited mode of knowledge acquisition and subjectivity, which is playful and ‘fun’.

Quantified accounts are expressed through numbers, which are made meaningful through contextualisation and interpretation. Fitbit offers a strong interpretative framework and visual interface with the online account. This way it creates a set of visual cues and narrative elements for the user to interpret these numbers. It compares user measurements to certain targets, either set through Fitbit’s health promotion formula, (10,000 steps daily, two litres of water) or by the user as goals. These are illustrated through real or fictional images. For example, during the auto
ethnography, climbing an estimated height of 16 building floors was represented as climbing the height of Godzilla. With a film reference and a comparison to a fictional creature, Fitbit aims to enhance the experience of self-tracking by providing an additional path to self-understanding and pleasure from the user’s engagement with data. The key framing here is that learning to self-track is fun and that fun is a new way of dealing with the ‘serious’ world of health.

Fitbit further cultivates sociality and competitive play with the use of badges and levels. These operate as motivational tools and are a recognisable marketing strategy, in which game elements (for example, reward structures, positive reinforcement and challenges) are integrated in non-gaming contexts (see Zichermann and Cunningham 2011 for more about ‘gamification’ as marketing strategy). There is a new generation of immersive running games that aims to motivate behavioural change in users. Game design in healthcare in particular aims to encourage users towards a model of self-management (see Swan 2009). This element of gamification of everyday practices that characterizes Fitbit is common in self-quantification (Till 2014).

The sociality and motivational cues offered by Fitbit are only generated by compliance. While learning about oneself appears to be social, a form of camaraderie, in fact it introduces what Rail and Jette have called ‘neo-authoritarianism’ (2015: 328) in the shape of a digital coach. There are no badges or motivational prompts for inactivity or missed data, but there are red warning zones in the infographics for failing to meet consumption and activity targets. Although, in other platforms, users rely on paid subscriptions for punishment if they do not train enough or overeat (Cederstrom and Spicer, 2015), in Fitbit the emphasis is not on punitive language or warnings; it is on reward, and motivation. The use of fun and play, and the emphasis on positivity is also a way of navigating the threat of an implicit dystopian imaginary in which health care is unavailable. The connected, continuous collection of data from sensors and the sharing of these data in social networks are instrumental for the operationalization of this model, and interface design is key for adoption in everyday life.

c) ‘A gap in my graph!’ Temporality and learning to be productive

Fitbit generates data through a selection of specific points so a judgement about what counts as productive biological information is built into the design of the device. The Sun’s (highly popular UK redtop newspaper) assessment was that of ‘a slimming aid’ explaining that ‘it counts the number of steps you take each day and converts the data into calories burnt’. This way of characterising biological data – as generated by counting walking, running, biking and swimming activities - together with calorie intake and weight measurements is the feature of Fitbit that is most dominant in media coverage. It is represented as a device that will manage body weight and active lifestyle
through the mechanism of measurement, saving time by collecting data. A trend in the January 2013 coverage, picking up on press releases, was to link the device to getting more active after Christmas. Some articles picked up on the promise that Fitbit also offers a route into a health revolution, or links to a quantified-self movement, by referencing the capacity to put the Fitbit together with other databases. However, these references were rare and although Fitbit was used to anchor a prospective vision it stood in as sign for this future vision rather than providing evidence for such a system.

“Wearing the Fitbit has made me aware of my day and night cycles and temporal rhythms in a different way. Although monitoring bodily activity remained in the domain of the technology, I had an extra responsibility of wearing the Fitbit device at all times. My perception of time changed. It became the time of the diagram, the time of continuity and bundles of activity. When I failed to transfer the device from one pair of trousers to another, and in the meantime I climbed stairs a couple of times, this continuity was spoilt, there was a gap in my graph, like a gap in my performance.” (field notes)

These gaps in performance during Fotopoulou’s experience of using Fitbit One registered as anxiety accompanied with thoughts such as ‘what was I doing during this time?’ In ‘Pressed for time’, Judy Wajcman (2014) suggests that the acceleration of technological innovation in digital capitalism has made us feel that we are short of time in the increasingly busy lives we are leading. Multitasking, she explains, with digital devices has made leisure time disappear. Indeed with Fitbit, becoming and staying fit and healthy is a task that occurs around the clock because the collection of data takes place during work, leisure and sleep. No time is wasted; all time is productive, as long as you are alive to generate biological signals. From Fotopoulou’s experience of using Fitbit, she observed that although Fitbit could have been useful in the long term with being mindful about the body, during the three months of use things just felt busier, as if there was always a task at hand. Arguably, doing auto-ethnography for a research project expanded working hours and acknowledging this context of monitoring is important:

“Would I have felt that I was excelling in working performance even when I used Fitbit after the time period of data collection for the research project? I continued using Fitbit after the autoethnographic period ended. Self-tracking correctly (without data gaps) felt good – I felt a sense of accomplishment.” (field notes)

A desire to be a ’good’ monitoring subject (which in practice meant recording movement, climbing steps and keeping mobile during the day) was not only triggered by loyalty as a working researcher; as Wajcman (2014) notes, being busy, harried and short for time is a form of status amongst middle class professionals in contemporary digital capitalism. Being busy with self-quantifying and staying fit is a reassuring and rewarding form of capital, which might explain why
people happily and voluntarily chose to labour and be productive as a lifestyle, outside working hours (cf Gregg, 2011). Quantification is also a form of mediation between individual workers who do not connect in other ways in conditions of neoliberal precarity (Moore and Robinson, forthcoming). Thus being a productive subject with Fitbit concerns both the production of one’s own health, through an imagination of being proactive, and also the production of meaningful data. Continuous productivity is a key element of data subjectivity.

d) ‘The future is here’: Learning about the brand

In addition to self-knowledge about fitness activity, Fitbit encourages users to become more knowledgable about the Fitbit technology and brand, and therefore contribute to building a strong consumer/business relationship. The Community panel, perhaps the most social of all Fitbit elements, supports participation in discussion threads that relate almost exclusively to the use of the device, health, diet and medical discourses. So as pedagogic technologies, fitness wearables affirm self-logging, and behavioural change, whilst facilitating belonging in both fitness and techno-savvy networked knowledge communities.

In UK print news, coverage occurred primarily in feature and review sections, and largely consisted of reproductions of press releases from consumer electronic shows and from Fitbit and its distributors’ promotional material. Thus, most material was positive in some way. In much of this coverage, big visions were laid out so that Fitbit often operated to anchor much grander visions of innovation and futures. Headlines and framings included: ‘Wearable revolution’ ‘revolutionising healthcare’ ‘electronic health record revolution’ ‘the future is here’. These stories ranged through a spectrum of prospective visions about medicine, or the consumer electronics market transformed, while referencing Fitbit as an example.

The Fitbit brand identity then is, on the one hand, a fun, cool gadget and, on the other hand, part of a vision of electronic health records, telemedicine and big data. The following quote from a trade press article in which Fitbit is promoted as ‘pre-wired for the electronic health record revolution’ is from Medical Marketing and Media under the headline ‘Devices and Diagnostics: The App Avant-Garde’:

“one of the advance guard of a new breed of medical device-one that's part app and part gizmo and interfaces with smartphones to allow patients with chronic conditions like diabetes an easy, DIY way of monitoring their health and sharing that data with their doctors. It’s a tool tailor-made for this era of Big Data, empowered patients and ever fewer primary care physicians, who have less time.” (Arnold, 2011)

This broad vision of ‘empowered patients’ comes from the USA, but is also taken up in the UK and
European contexts, where a vision of empowered patients comes together with cuts in public health care resources. Health and medical sectors are looking to digital media to decentralise and individualise the costs of health care. This reformulation of the citizen/consumer as a prospective informed patient operating in a hopeful economy of biological citizenship has been well documented in the sociology of health and medicine (Rose and Novas, 2005; Rabinow, 1996). The critical literature on obesity, particularly Wright and Harwood (2009), examines how this dovetails with the reduction in public health care budgets.

The *Fitbit* vision is thus part of two broader intersecting discourses. First, the self is made up in part through personal engagement with knowledge about biology. In this discourse of biological citizenship, a key issue the type of most relevant biological knowledge to assist in a project of self-making. What data should be collected and how can it be interpreted? *Fitbit* and other tracking devices compete for intelligibility of data and interpretation, and embody decisions about what biological data points are relevant. In this sense, they already offer forms of interpretation and meaning making, like more traditional media texts. The second discourse is the one in which self-health care is increasingly important, as public front line resources dwindle. Here questions of cost, relevance, robustness of information, and ease of use are important. In this paradigm, self-tracking offers a sort of technological fix to austerity.

Although there were many references to the mundane, even annoying rituals of data collection, criticisms of *Fitbit* only appeared in a minority (2%) of the news coverage analysed. These positioned self-monitoring as a kind of labour; data generation as pollution; privacy an area of concern; and suggested that just measuring might not amount to managing health. Thus, a small fraction of the coverage challenged the frames provided in the *Fitbit* and consumer electronics promotional material and went further than merely trivialising the device. The most critical assessment of *Fitbit* positioned the device as part of broader data industry with the following headline: ‘Your body isn't a temple, it's a data factory emitting digital exhaust' (Mahdawi, 2013). This article drew on similar sources to the more positive review articles, namely press releases from consumer electronic shows about a future of biosensors linked to data infrastructure, but coupled these with reports that the French government was proposing a data tax that could be applied to companies profiting from user generated data.

**Fitbit data: biopedagogy, self-tracking and datafied self**

What is learnt from *Fitbit* data? Reading the data back to oneself, provides an account of how to move, how much to sleep and eat. In other words the collection of fitness data is a micropractice with a pedagogical dimension that concerns normalising and disciplining bodies. This biopedagogy
is not simply about being ‘fit’ and well; it influence beliefs, behaviors and policies. It produces reality, as Foucault puts it when he explains biopower; ‘it produces domains of objects and rituals of truth’. (1991: 194). The pedagogic aspect of governmentality in fitness data tracking concerns a process of learning the behaviours and dispositions of self-care that are within acceptable modes of conduct in a neoliberal health landscape. The quote ‘taking care of oneself requires knowing…oneself’ (Foucault, 2000: 283) presupposes a didactic process.

Becks and Beck-Gernsheim (2001) have noted how in the age of genetics, being and staying healthy is framed as desirable and expected, beyond previous limits. It is indeed a ‘voluntary compulsion’ (144), based on the normative premise that more information will allow individuals to take better decisions for their health and that of their children. As is evidenced in the analysis above, *Fitbit* data contribute to the normalization of self-monitoring and self-improvement, not only by establishing a firm regime of self-monitoring but also by offering new public sites where these practices are being sanctioned and affirmed (social media). The types of information made available to the *Fitbit* user can be thought of as encouraging an emerging self-management scheme and behaviour whereby users measure their bodily activity for productivity, learn from their own data and adjust their way of life accordingly.

Although logging information and checking stats is a mundane and often tedious activity (see user reviews, e.g. Waltz 2012), it is at the same time a form of ritual (Couldry 2003) involving positive affirmation. Through this, users re-assure themselves that they are being proactive and taking responsibility for their own wellbeing, in other words meeting the vision of the ‘empowered patient’ which, as noted above, is largely communicated in the media. The free labour demanded of the user who is self-tracking in order to sustain something that appears relatively simple and unmediated involves multiple modes of engagement, such as reading (interpreting) and sharing their own data via social networking. We can think of this as a wider symptom of the mediated contexts of life today, where services such as the collection of statistical data and health information are increasingly produced at home and given by users for free (see also Anderson 2009; Beer and Burrows 2007). While there is ambivalence as to how far the collection of big data is an exploitative process (see for instance Couldry, Fotopoulou & Dickens 2016, Ritzer and Jurgenson 2010, Tapscott and Williams 2008), or a development to be celebrated, as is the case with the QS (Nafus and Sherman 2013), this phenomenon has important ethical, political and social implications.

**Learning self-responsibility and becoming an expert in self-care**

*Fitbit* (and its fun-focused interface for the logging and accessing of personal data) seems to fit in a
social and political context of self-responsibility. State approaches to health in the age of austerity that link to conservative policies throughout Europe and America can be considered within a wider context and historical stronghold of neoliberalism as an ideology. In the UK, where there is generalised access to the welfare system, responsibilising the self has been a key governance strategy characterising neo-liberal policy since Margaret Thatcher’s administration in the 1980s. Entrenched by New Labour’s Third Way politics in the 1990s, it emphasised flexible employment and life-long learning (Besley and Peters, 2007). This has meant intensification of moral regulation, privatization and limiting the State’s role in favour of responsibilising the individual to invest in their own education and welfare: both an economic and moral process (Peters, 2001). More recently, as Robert de Vogli (2011) notes, neoliberal policy responses to the economic crisis by national governments and the G-20, targeting the health sector specifically, have resulted in increasing mortality, health and economic inequalities between and within countries.

The result of such policies is a shift of emphasis from medical professionals services to self-empowerment. Health moves from the visible economy of the medical industries and the state to the less visible sphere of consumer labour. In the community sections of Fitbit, users predominately discuss medical issues and link to further sources of knowledge. This mode of engagement encourages users to participate and share, and to interact with other Fitbit users for information and personal development. Instead of relying on a physician or other professionals, the user is offered a mode of self-reliance coupled with support from the Fitbit user community. Thus, the encouragement of a consumer-knowledge community operates within a larger assumption and normalisation of digital connectivity and social networking. Digital health promotion and biopedagogy work together, both by addressing users and consumers as learners of technology for self-care, and by instructing them that such technologies and digital online practices may offer a form of expertise.

Expertise here refers to becoming expert in one's use of wearables and one's own capacity to care for one’s own well-being: becoming 'expert' in self-care with the use of wearable technologies. This can be thought to actively lend to what has been termed as 'e-scaped medicine' by Sarah Nettleton and Roger Burrows, (2003), a new medical cosmology whereby information and communication technologies are central as means of acquiring knowledge. Nettleton and Burrows (2003) view the internet as the social technology that assists with the task of reflexive management of risk, at a time of waning faith in medical 'experts'. In this view, the digitisation of the welfare state and e-health services is an advancement based on the assumption that more access to information is better for citizens, patients and consumers.

With Fitbit, and more generally within the new cultural context of self-tracking, the sheer volume of
information is specifically about one's own activity and engagement with the technology. Instead of thinking about expertise and agency in relation to the proliferation of abstract knowledge sources online, here we observe the encouragement of focusing on one's own bodily production and online community (linked to the commercial device) as the source of such information and expertise. The QS motto 'self-knowledge through numbers' refers to such knowledge, founded on intentional accumulation of statistical data, rather than general health information about conditions and symptoms.

Conclusion

In this article, the framework of biopedagogy provides a way of analysing a series of micropractices that mediate expertise about health, and shape data subjectivity. The pedagogic aspect of governmentality in self-tracking assumes learning the behaviours and dispositions of self-care, which constitute acceptable modes of conduct in a neoliberal health landscape. Our discussion focuses on four areas of analysis: data subjectivity and sociality; time and productivity; making meaning around data; and brand identity.

With Fitbit, data are made social. Data subjectivity is 'fun' but limited, and it requires being always productive, even during sleep. Thinking about productivity in relation to temporality in particular links to a broader discourse of the ideal proactive consumer of self-tracking health technologies. This proactive consumer and data subject makes meaning with numbers in the context of digital coaching, gamification and metaphor, as they feature in the Fitbit interface. Through the marketing interfaces of the Fitbit device, including reviews and device screens, consumers are invited to participate in a form of training, not only in the collection of data, and health awareness, but also in the technology itself. The ideal consumer and biocitizen of the digitized welfare state is expert in their own body data production, which provides them with a sense of agency about their health.

Data collection devices sell by presenting "data collection as an always already good and productive practice" (Gardner and Wray, 2013: np). Trust in the objectivity of data and quantified methods, or dataism as van Dijck (2014) calls it, solidifies new modes of expertise. We may consequently think of a diffraction of expertise: from platforms (that set the protocols of health), through to bodies (that generate data in compliance with these protocols), back through the platforms (that provide the interpretation of the data); a recursive loop that opens up more markets for devices that track data. The fact that Fitbit wearables, and other commercial tracking devices, are promoted as leisure and fitness devices places them in the category of knowledge-for-prevention, which is also experiential and personal. An important question that arises then is how these experiential and embodied knowledges might resist the biopower of data.
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This article does not provide a substantive gender analysis. However, our news coverage analysis showed that Fitbit is targeted through health and lifestyle marketing towards young women as a lifestyle accessory. The full range of devices that record and measure activity and health related data points are gendered in similar ways to the broader lifestyle market. That is to say that both men and women are targeted but women are targeted more heavily, and through different devices. For example, the Fitbit One shows a flower and the Fitbit Flex is smaller and more colourful and signified as ‘flex’ – elements usually positioned on women in promotional materials. The Fitbit Charge is chunkier, black or grey and signified as ‘charge’ and is usually positioned on men with some shots of women.