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Ethical dilemmas faced by hospice nurses when administering palliative sedation to patients with terminal cancer

Authors and affiliation:

Kay de Vries (corresponding author)
PhD, MSc, PGCEA, BSc (Hons), RN
Deputy Head of School of Health Sciences
University of Brighton
Westlair House
Village Way, Falmer
Brighton BN1 9PH
Tel 01273 643578
l.k.devries@brighton.ac.uk

Marek Plaskota
MSc; BSc (Hons); RGN
Palliative Care Clinical Nurse Specialist
St George's Healthcare NHS Trust
St George's Hospital
Blackshaw Road, Tooting
London
SW17 0QT
Email: Marek.Plaskota@stgeorges.nhs.uk

Short title: Palliative sedation in hospice
Pages: 35
Abstract

**Objectives:** Palliative sedation is a symptom management method frequently used in hospices to treat uncontrolled symptoms at the end of life. There is a substantial literature on this subject however there has been little research into the experiences of hospice nurses when administering palliative sedation as a symptom measure for terminal restlessness experienced by cancer patients.

**Methods:** Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a purposive sample of seven hospice nurses who had cared for at least one patient who had undergone palliative sedation within the past year in a hospice in the South of England in the UK. A phenomenological approach and Colaizzi’s stages of analysis were used to develop themes from the data.

**Results:** Facilitating a ‘peaceful death’ was the primary goal of the nurses where, through the administration of palliative sedation, they sought to enable and support patients to be: ‘comfortable’; ‘relaxed’; and ‘calm’ at the terminal stage of their illness. Ethical dilemmas related to decision making were a factor in achieving this. These were: medication decisions, ‘juggling the drugs’; ‘causing the death’; sedating young people; family ‘requesting’ sedation and believing hospice was a place where death is hastened.

**Significance of results:** In UK hospices nurses frequently encountered ethical and emotional dilemmas when administering palliative sedation. Making such decisions about using palliative sedation caused general discomfort for the nurses. Undertaking this aspect of care requires confidence and competence on the part of the nurses and working within a supportive hospice team is of fundamental importance to supporting this practice.
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Introduction

Refractory symptoms, defined as symptoms that cannot be adequately controlled despite aggressive efforts to identify a tolerable therapy that does not compromise consciousness (Cherny & Portenoy, 1994), are common in patients with advanced cancer as well as other advanced diseases. Expressions such as: ‘terminal restlessness’; ‘terminal delirium’; or ‘terminal agitation’ are used interchangeably throughout the literature; for the purposes of this paper, the term ‘terminal restlessness’ will be used to describe this symptom. Terminal restlessness can develop days or even weeks before death and manifests in persistent distressing physical symptoms such as: pain; breathlessness; and agitated delirium (de Graeff & Dean, 2007; Morita et al., 2005; Fainsinger et al., 2000). Patients may also experience psychological and spiritual/existential symptoms such as severe anxiety, anguish and fear (Boston et al., 2011; Lavoie et al., 2008). The most common approach taken to ameliorate terminal restlessness is the provision of ‘terminal or palliative sedation’. The European Association for Palliative Care considers the use of sedation as an important and often necessary symptom management measure in the care of palliative care patients experiencing uncontrollable symptoms that cause terminal restlessness (Hauser & Walsh, 2009; Cherny & Radbruch, 2009; de Graeff & Dean, 2007). Large differences in defining palliative sedation are reported in the literature, as are reporting on frequency of symptoms and sedation practices between countries and services. These are influenced by the country, context and clinical setting or site at which the study was conducted (de Graeff & Dean, 2007; Beel et al.,
2002). There are also many inconsistencies with regard to the prevalence, the effect of sedation, food and fluid intake, and the possible life-shortening effect of palliative sedation (Claessens et al., 2008).

Background
Reporting on the types of medication used and the frequency of the use of palliative sedation for patients at end of life also varies considerably across the world. Seale (2009) found that prescription of opioids alone for palliative sedation occurred in one-fifth of the cases in the UK but was not reported by specialists in palliative care. In 83% of cases from a study in the Netherlands by Rietjens et al. (2008) sedation was induced by benzodiazepines, often combined with morphine. Benzodiazepines, such as Midazolam, were more likely to be used by palliative care specialists, a practice supported by most guidelines (Rietjens et al., 2008). Levomepromazine (a phenothiazine antipsychotic) and haloperidol (a butyrophenone antipsychotic) are frequently prescribed in palliative care medicine for severe delirium/agitation in the last days of life (Watson et al. 2009). Phenobarbitone is primarily used for terminal sedation in Intensive Care Units and is rarely used in hospice, except under circumstances when a terminally agitated patient has not responded to a previous drug regime (Gillon et al. 2010; Cheng et al. 2002). A study in the Netherlands by Swart et al. (2012) comparing palliative sedation for cancer and non-cancer patients reported that cancer patients were significantly more likely to receive palliative sedation than non-cancer patients. The researchers speculate that this was probably due to the less predictable course of non-cancer diseases.
Although evidence-based clinical guidelines have been proposed to manage symptoms in terminally ill patients, clinicians regularly encounter ethical dilemmas when administering palliative sedation (Materstvedt & Bosshard, 2009, Morita et al., 2003, 2004). It has been shown that in some clinical situations, when palliative sedation is required, health professionals experience severe distress due to the suffering of patients and their families, particularly if there is limited success in managing symptoms and decision making has been ambiguous (Braitman et al. 2006; Morita, 2004; Morita et al. 2004; Fainsinger et al. 2000; Hallenbeck 2000). Braitman (2003, 2005) argued that although it is understood that the use of palliative sedation for terminal restlessness can have a profound effect on a patient’s family, little is known about its impact on the team of health care professionals.

In a study of 16 nurses’ experiences and attitudes of administering palliative sedation in hospital settings in the Netherlands, sedation was used primarily to address physical suffering in severely ill patients. The nurses differed in how they perceived the effects of palliative sedation on their patients. Some believed it may have had a life-shortening effect and in some cases was justified in the interests of comfort measures, whilst some thought it did not shorten life and a third group believed that it was close to euthanasia and they had difficulty being involved in the practice (Rietjens et al., 2007). A survey of 250 nurses in Flanders, Belgium, about their most recent case of palliative sedation at end of life, reported that 77% of the nurses saw it mainly as a practice intended to
hasten death and they believed that palliative sedation had a life-shortening effect (Inghelbrecht et al., 2011). Only 4% believed that it had actually had no life-shortening effect. It was also reported that nurses frequently made decisions to start continuous palliative sedation jointly with physicians and that physicians and patient’s relatives frequently made decisions without the patient having a role in the decision making. In contrast, a prospective, longitudinal study of sedation of 266 patients in Flemish Palliative Care Units by Claessens et al. (2011) showed that in all cases patients gave consent to start palliative sedation.

Nilsson and Tengvall (2013) interviewed 14 nurses in a specialist palliative care unit in Sweden and identified ethical concerns and also issues related to responsibility, confidence, communication and teamwork. A study in Scotland by Zinn and Moriarty (2012) of the experiences of nurses carrying out palliative sedation identified three themes: suffering; courage; and peace as representing how the nurses believed that palliative sedation was sometimes necessary and appropriate to ensure a peaceful death.

Seymour et al. (2007) conducted interviews with 14 nurses, 11 doctors and 10 researchers. This research took place in the context of attention on euthanasia and assisted dying dialogues in the Netherlands, Belgium and the UK. Interview data from the clinicians (doctors and nurses) revealed that the administration of palliative sedation required palliative care expertise, collaborative decision making processes and support systems, good understanding of ethical and legal frameworks, and correct interpretation of suffering (Seymour et al., 2007).
A study, including the same three countries as above, by Raus et al. (2014) focused on the emotional impact of involvement in continuous sedation by nurses, physicians and relatives and their understanding of their moral responsibility. Interviews were conducted with 57 physicians, 73 nurses, and 34 relatives. Settings from which participant were recruited were: home, hospitals (mostly oncology wards) and specialist palliative care settings, including hospices. The emotional and moral impact of continuously sedating a patient until death was linked to how emotionally and physically close the participants felt to the patient. Two types of closeness were identified; ‘decisional closeness’, referring to how close the participant felt to the patient, and ‘causal closeness’, the perceived closeness to the causal events of administering sedation. The researchers also identified a theme of ‘stressing benefits over harms’, where participants employed a type of ‘balancing’ reasoning to enable them to cope with their feelings of moral responsibility.

The need for robust processes of ethical decision making was also identified by Dean et al. (2014) following a retrospective review of patient records over a 12-month period in 2009 in a Scottish hospice. The authors identified inconsistencies and lack of clarity on what constituted ‘intolerable’ or ‘refractory’ suffering and proposed: that patients’ ability to consent should be explicitly recorded; that the team have a shared and explicit understanding of the terminology and definitions of sedation; and that formal mechanisms for support, such as clinical supervision are offered to staff. The development of guidelines has become a focus and priority due to concerns and uncertainty surrounding

The above studies on nurses’ experiences offer some insights into ethical issues that nurses face when administering palliative sedation, however only a small sample of participants worked exclusively in hospice and ethical dilemmas across all settings were not largely addressed but have emerged.

**Research design**

A phenomenological approach was adopted in undertaking this study. Phenomenology focuses on individual’s meaning making as the key element of the human experience and assumes there is an underlying structure and core meaning to shared experiences and that these can be described, explained and interpreted (Patton, 2002). These meanings are not always apparent to participants and so researchers have to interpret them from participants’ narratives (Lopez & Willis, 2004). An interpretive approach was taken that examined the subjective experiences of the nurses in relation to palliative sedation. This was informed by the ideas of Heidegger (1962) who argued that the focus of phenomenological inquiry should be the relation that the individual has to his or her lifeworld and how participants are being-in-the-world.
Semi-structured interviews were carried out with a purposive sample of seven hospice nurses who had cared for at least one patient who had undergone palliative sedation within the past year, in a hospice in the South of England, UK. Twenty nurses working at the hospice were invited to participate in the study; only seven responded. All participants were female. Their age range was 24-62 with a median of 43 years. The length of time they had worked in palliative care ranged from seven months to eight years.

Sample sizes in qualitative studies are usually small as the aim is to improve understanding of complex human issues rather than generalisability of the findings (Patton, 2002; Parahoo 2006; Creswell 2009). Interviews followed a phenomenological approach of asking the participants to relate specific situations where they had been involved in palliative sedation of a patient at the hospice and how they had felt about this. We used prompts to probe about the types of medication used and for details on administration practices. Data analysis commenced with the first interview and after carrying out analysis of six interviews no new themes were emerging. A further interview was conducted that confirmed saturation within seven interviews (Guest et al., 2006) and no new themes emerged. Colaizzi’s (1978) stages of analysis were used to develop themes from the data. As per Colaizzi’s stage seven all participants were offered the opportunity to comment on both the transcripts and then the developing themes, but all declined. We followed the five expressions from a framework for evaluating rigour in phenomenology developed by de Witt and Ploeg (2006), in tandem with Colaizzi’s stages, thus addressing the last stage of Colaizzi’s
framework where we drew on our own clinical experience and those of four colleagues who had not been participants in the study. In our analysis we believe we achieved a high level of rigour using the de Witt and Ploeg (2006) framework where we established: a balance between the voices of study participants and our philosophical explanation of their experiences which were underpinned by the concept of a good death; followed an open and systematic process using Colaizzi’s framework; that the study findings are useful to practice; and that they resonate with the experiences of other nurses in hospice practice as addressed above. The actualisation of the findings and implications for future practice within hospice are addressed later in the article.

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the University Ethics Committee and the Hospice Research Committee and written consent was obtained prior to each interview.

Findings
Facilitating a ‘peaceful death’ was interpreted as the primary purpose of administering palliative sedation to a dying person in the hospice. This interpretation was made based on the language used by the nurses where they sought to enable and support patients to be: ‘at peace’; ‘settled’; ‘comfortable’; ‘relaxed’; and ‘calm’, as they approached death. Achieving this state was underpinned by a number of concerns, interpreted as ethical ‘dilemmas of care’. Dilemmas encountered included: medication decisions, ‘juggling the drugs’; concern that they (the nurses) had caused the death; sedating young people;
requests for sedation from family and patients; and relatives conceptualising hospice as a place where death is hastened. There was a fundamental need for team support in decision making and emotionally managing the difficult and complex situations that were encountered by the nurses.

**Facilitating a peaceful death**

Central to the nurses’ work in managing terminal restlessness was the need to facilitate a ‘peaceful death’. They wanted to see their patients: ‘at peace’, ‘settled’, ‘comfortable’, ‘relaxed’, and ‘calm’, as did also (according to the nurses) family members. These terms were found repeatedly throughout the data, always related to the aim of the intervention.

**Decision-making and ethical and emotional conflict**

**Medication decisions: ‘juggling the drugs’**

Dilemmas occurred most frequently in relation to what drugs to give. All patients referred to by participants had terminal cancer and midazolam was most frequently the first line medication given. Concerns were often more specifically about juggling the specific drugs and dose levels in tandem with repeatedly assessing the condition of the patient:

> After midazolam, when you give extra dose and it doesn’t work, then I would give levo to help (N2, 15-19).

All of the nurses were troubled at some stage by whether or not they had made the right decision in starting a particular medication:
But when he was restless and you are jumping from one medication to... from midazolam to haloperidol (haloperidol was tried as well), to levomepromazine, obviously that was the unsettled period when whatever we were trying was not controlling the symptom and he was still uncomfortable ...that’s when we felt unsure (N3:141-146).

Three of the nurses had experience of administering phenobarbitone to induce deep continuous sedation. When it was used it was the subject of long discussion and debate within the multidisciplinary team. It was also reflected on at length by the participants, both at the time they were involved in the administration and during the interview:

*We obviously excluded all the possible reasons for him to be restless and it was a lot of drugs that we tried to use. We started with midazolam. … He was even more agitated and restless and we had to use levomepromazine. In the end he ended up with 2 syringe drivers. The second syringe driver was with phenobarbitone, quite high dose. He died peacefully in the end, but obviously he was very restless before we could get the right dose* (N3:27-31).

When using medication such as phenobarbitone, if the time was protracted before death occurred, the nurses started to doubt their original decision to start palliative sedation. They expressed concerns that they may have missed a reversible cause of the symptom they were trying to manage. This was even
more of a concern and dilemma when they became aware of family member
discomfort about the length of time that the person remained heavily sedated:

_He became very agitated and distressed. Despite trying to exclude all
other possible causes, it was difficult to get on top of that symptom
and decision was made to escalate his treatment and he eventually
had a syringe with phenobarbitone. He subsequently died comfortably
but there were some challenges throughout that end-of-life period that
might have been in excess of five days on phenobarbitone driver,
where certainly the daughters became a little uncertain in their
decision making process, which made it difficult just to be consistent
as a team that what we were doing was correct and in the best interest
of this patient_ (N5:28-36).

‘Causing the death’

All of the nurses expressed uncertainty that palliative sedation could or would
actually lead to the death of the patient and they repeatedly reflected on this
possibility. They all reported experiencing anxiety, at some time, about such an
outcome but all maintained the position of wanting what was deemed ‘best for
the patient’ at that time. The more experienced nurses expressed higher
confidence in their decisions to administer palliative sedation balancing this with
the aim of the intervention:

_Although I would never be sure whether the medications had hastened
the patient’s death. I am always clear in my mind that my aim is to ... is_
the patient’s comfort or perhaps to control extreme agitation, or distress (N5:11-15).

We had to give this gentleman quite a large dose of levomepromazine before we got him settled. And he did settle. He did die afterwards, I think within hours, which proves to me that it was very, very terminal agitation. It was a very large dose of levo that we had to give. And we did not give it all in once. Obviously we went in and when it didn’t work we went in again. I feel that was appropriate (N1, 45-51)

They took their responsibilities very seriously and all expressed concern about whether or not their actions were ethically sound. Each experience added to their growing expertise on administration of palliative sedation, and their decisions were always carefully considered from a number of angles and perspectives:

I had a patient who was very frightened about dying and just wanted to be given midazolam syringe driver for last few weeks of his life and I felt that that was not ethically right as he was still able to eat and drink and by sedating him to that level, we would be actually hastening his death because it would be preventing him from eating and drinking and he would be left in bed … and more prone to chest infections which could hasten his death. … that’s always been something that stayed in my mind, therefore I am very careful about the use of the
drug and don’t think that, in most cases, in any cases really, that using midazolam has hastened death (N6:128-137).

Sedating young people

The patient’s age was a significant factor that led to dilemmas about using palliative sedation. Feelings and concerns regarding the impact of palliative sedation were more powerful if the dying person was younger. All of the nurses found it more difficult to sedate younger patients and worried that they may be missing a reversible cause of the agitation. It was particularly problematic if it was an unexpected event:

I never dealt with terminal restlessness presenting in such an aggressive way in such a young person with such a young family and with the family being so distraught about it. I felt anxious about administering big doses to somebody who was still young and ... he deteriorated very suddenly from somebody who was able to stand and get himself to bed ... and in a couple of days he had a rapid disease progression. So it made me feel anxious about administering ... at that point, because generally people are probably comatose and look cachexic ...but he still looked facially ...and in his body ...he didn’t look that ill. That’s probably what made me feel so different about doing it (N4:110-120).

When the patient was younger relatives were often overwhelmed by the rapid changes they saw in the dying person’s condition and behaviour. These rapid
changes were frequently the reason why the patient had been admitted to the hospice. Family members regularly indicated their sense of helplessness and expressed a desire for the professionals to take control of the situation:

> Basically, she [patient’s wife] just wanted us to take control of the situation. She didn’t have any great views or opinions about what we were doing. She was just happy she had professionals to help her with the situation (N1, 79-82).

This sense of helplessness was also experienced by the nurses, causing them to become cautious in their approaches to administering palliative sedation:

> I hadn’t seen that sort of thing before, and so, and she looked terrified, absolutely terrified and the children were just sort of looking to me, you know, can’t you do something? And I just felt out of my depth. So I was going in with like 2.5 midazolam, really scared to up it whereas now I wouldn’t hesitate and if 2.5 didn’t help then I’d be going in with something else, but then it was just, I just, if felt hopeless really (N7:34-43).

It was often the sense of helplessness and hopelessness that led patients and families to make requests for palliative sedation to be activated. However, requests related to terminal restlessness were predominantly made by family members as patients themselves were usually incapable of making such requests.
Requests for sedation and believing that hospice was a place where death is hastened

Care dilemmas were closely interrelated to providing support for families as well as the patient and being torn between having the responsibility to attend to the needs of both. The nurses recognised the difficulties faced by families and dealing with the family distress impacted on how the care was managed. In many situations, when family members said that they just wanted their loved ones “to be comfortable”, it was implicitly understood, and often explicitly expressed, that they wanted the patient sedated and did not want to be exposed to their restless and agitated behaviour:

They were desperate for it. It wasn’t that they haven’t thought of it [sedation] and we put it to them as an idea. It was more that they were desperate. They were so upset by seeing their loved one distressed by what was happening to him and so out of character and so aggressive that they wanted also what was the best thing for him and that was for him to be settled and out of distress and discomfort that he was in. They were almost begging for us to do something ...“please calm him down” (N4:81-88).

Views about hospice practice held by some relatives were distressing to the nurses, “they think that nobody ever goes home”. These related to comments that intimated that family members believed that hospice nurses can, and do, intentionally hasten a patient’s death at times. When they had to deal with overt requests, from family members, to accelerate the patient’s death, they were often
shocked that the implications were that they would be prepared to actually hasten the death:

She thought we were giving him an injection to finish him off and I found that quite scary. When we talked her through, she was fine, but you know it’s not very nice if somebody, even just for a second would think that (N1:114-116).

This was not the situation for many family members who wanted sedation. The majority were simply relieved to see their loved ones peaceful and no longer agitated or appearing to be at risk of causing damage to themselves. This enabled them to make the most of the time they had left with them:

Once he was sedated, they were actually able to spend a lot of quality time together. They played music that they played at their wedding and he was so much peaceful. She laid on the bed with him and she slept in the room. And because he was in this state rather than the aggressive one, they were able to spend a few days of some quality time together without his last day of his life being agitated and aggressive in it and restless one. He was settled and peaceful. And they had that room so comfortable in there. They had a lot of time and space together (N4: 232-242).

However, one of the more senior nurses admitted that she found not being able to discuss treatment options with the patient ethically challenging. This was frequently due to advanced disease causing the patient to be unable to
communicate as a result of delirium and the agitation and disorientation that this caused:

“It would become an ethical dilemma if, if you really can’t discuss it with the patient properly so you try to explain it to the family and it depends where the family are at. We need to remember we are treating the patient not the family” (N3, 198-201)

“Being supported”

The importance of support when making decisions about administering palliative sedation was heavily emphasised. This included having opportunities to share the decision making with members of the team. They were relieved at having somebody more senior, such as the ward manager or a Palliative Consultant, confirm that administering the sedation was the right decision to make. It was seen as part of the supportiveness of working with an experienced palliative care team:

It was so upsetting as well, to nurse a man and his family through such a difficult time. It was felt through the whole ward really. So the manager was always around offering support … very approachable and offering reassurance as well that we were doing everything for the best of the patient (N2:134-140).

One newly qualified nurse admitted to being very frustrated when she felt out of her depth and she could not find anybody on the ward to talk to, to seek advice from:
Because I wasn’t getting anywhere with what I was giving her, I was, like I say, I was out of my depth at that time...it was one of those occasions where I needed a lot of reflection because I felt I let her down because of my inexperience (N7:63-64;92-93).

Being praised for ‘doing a good job’ was also perceived by the nurses as a form of support. It reassured them that their actions were acknowledged and approved regardless of whether it came from the management, relatives or it was said during reflective practice sessions:

They [the palliative care team] felt that I had done a good, as good job as I could and were appreciative for what I had done so that was...that made me feel better about it. I felt upset about it, but I think they felt I had done my best. I know I shouldn’t think about how I feel but actually somehow it made it better for me afterwards (N6:102-106).

Discussion

The key finding of this study was that the nurses believed that administration of palliative sedation facilitated a ‘peaceful death’ for dying patients. However, achieving this led to ‘dilemmas of care’ for the nurses concerned. Our findings, that the nurses wanted to see their patients: ‘at peace’, ‘settled’, ‘comfortable’, ‘relaxed’, and ‘calm’, is in keeping with the findings of Zinn and Moriarty (2012), in that hospice nurses believed patients suffered, became courageous and were rendered peaceful by palliative sedation.
The concept of a peaceful death has concordance with that of the ‘good death’, a term that has been central to the hospice movement (Hart et al., 1998) and is a subject that has attracted a number of research projects and considerable philosophical dialogue. There has been criticism of attempts to establish what could be considered a good death due to the vast diversity of individual values and preferences and a growing consensus that a good death is something that cannot be defined beforehand in general terms and is not the same for everyone (Goldsteern et al., 2006, McNamara, 2004). We acknowledge the above (and many other) discussions on this concept and also the claim by Scarre (2012) that the expression good death is necessarily an oxymoron. However the ‘peaceful death’ referred to by the nurses was consistent with the more pragmatic conceptualisation of good and bad deaths by Low and Payne (1996). For Low and Payne (1996) a ‘good’ death was deemed adequate symptom management resulting in patient’s comfort and lack of family distress, while a ‘bad’ death was a result of uncontrolled symptoms, lack of acceptance, and being young.

All of patients discussed by the participants had cancer, confirming that this patient group are the most likely to received palliative sedation (Swart et al. (2012). Medications that were used were consistent with those identified in the literature as most likely to be used by specialist palliative clinicians. All of the nurses demonstrated knowledge and expertise in the range of medications used and their efficacy in palliative sedation. The medication that caused a significant dilemma was that of phenobarbitone. Phenobarbitone is an anticonvulsant mainly used in Intensive Care Units to induce long term sedation to enable
mechanical ventilation. The rarity of the use of phenobarbitone in hospice (Gillon et al. 2010; Cheng et al. 2002) was reflected in the concerns expressed by the nurses when administering it.

Managing terminal restlessness in patients with advanced disease is essential and some even describe it as ‘moral imperative’, and argue that there is no need for it to be such an ethically controversial issue (Kohara et al., 2005). This does not lessen the emotions that are experienced by those who are closely involved in making decisions, interacting closely with the patients and family members and actually administering the medications (Morita et al., 2004; Zinn & Moriarty, 2012; Rau et al., 2014). Brajtman (2003) found that families experienced multidimensional suffering and could be ambivalent towards sedating medications, feeling that they ‘were being pulled in two different directions’ (p 457). They wanted the patient’s suffering to end but also wanted to continue to be able to communicate with them (Brajtman, 2003). These were reactions reported by the nurses in this study, although there was emphasis on the family desire for sedation rather than not. Our data also revealed a strong focus on experiences related to decision making dilemmas specific to the type of medications that were used rather than perceptions about the experiences of family members that was the focus of participants in the Zinn and Moriarty study. This is perhaps a reflection of the detail, on what and how medications were used, that we asked of participants during the interviews.
Some relatives were reported as holding views about hospice practice that were shocking and unexpected, that is the belief that hospice nurses can, and do, intentionally hasten a patient’s death at times. This was also a conclusion for Seymour et al. (2007) where it was found that UK clinicians (nurses included) had to deal with ‘paradoxical and potentially contradictory cultural meanings associated with the methods used to relieve suffering’ (p 1683). As also found in our study, in some instances the nurses found that the act of administering sedation for terminal restlessness was interpreted as ‘attempts to hasten death’ (Seymour et al., 2007).

Dealing every day with dying people requires exceptional personal qualities, however, Kulbe (2001) argues that even the nurses in possession of those qualities are affected by the emotional burden of their work and are at risk of burnout, which will affect the quality of care they provide to their patients. The hospice movement is well known for its supportiveness towards patients, their families and friends as well as staff. Support is particularly strong in relation to making difficult and ethically challenging decisions where sharing knowledge and support are seen as important components of an effectively working health care team (Kirklin, 2010, Fillion et al., 2007). The nurses in this study generally felt supported by the hospice team in decision making and emotionally managing the difficult and complex situations that they encountered when administering palliative sedation. Furthermore, there was recognition that the high level decision making required experience, specialist skills and consultation with
others in the team, a finding upheld in other research (Mercadante et al., 2009, de Graeff & Dean, 2007, Seymour et al., 2007; Dean et al., 2014).

Limitations
This qualitative study included a small, purposive sample of palliative care nurses practising in one hospice unit. Nurses provide end-of-life care in multiple settings and, as each setting has its unique characteristics, the results of this study do not reflect the experiences of all nurses caring for terminally patients and their families. Furthermore, there were a number of challenges that were only touched on in the interviews and require further exploration, for example the concerns expressed by one of the more senior nurses regarding not being able to discuss treatment options with the patient who has terminal restlessness.

Implications for practice and future research
There are a number of implications for practice and future research that emerge from this study, despite the limitations. Primarily hospice nurses need to be confident, competent and well supported within an expert palliative care team when faced with making decisions about the use of palliative sedation. Although hospice palliative care is often carried out in a multi/inter-professional environment, discussions during team meetings do not always include staff attitudes towards palliative sedation. Strong leadership is needed to facilitate a supportive culture where the complexity of addressing ethical concerns is addressed. The importance of palliative care skills and requirement that professionals are skilled in both symptom control and end-of-life care were
identified in this study and are in keeping with contemporary research in this field. However, the part played by nurses in these processes is poorly understood. Also patient consent for terminal sedation has high priority in published guidelines, to the extent that recommendations are that terminal sedation should not be instigated under any condition where consent has not been gained from the patient. The complexity of engaging in discussions with patients and their families from all angles, including gaining consent, requires further exploration.

Conclusion

Hospice nurses frequently encountered ethical and emotional dilemmas when making decisions about, and administering palliative sedation. In the UK hospices nurses are frequently the primary clinician who engages in discussions about symptom management with patients and families and are also the clinician administering the palliative sedation medication. This study demonstrates that hospice nurses are regularly faced with the responsibility of making decisions about complex symptom management and medication regimes when administering palliative sedation. Making such decisions requires confidence on the part of the nurses as well as good communication and sound supportive teamwork within the hospice.

References

Abarshi, E.A., Papavasiliou, E.S., Preston, N., Brown, J. & Payne, S. on behalf of EURO IMPACT. (2014). The Complexity of Nurses’ Attitudes and Practice of


